- Categories impose status of sameness on different (but related) patterns.
- Schema abstract representation of a category.
- Knowledge about a category exists in the associations between the schema and other categories which are associated with it (including linguistic categories)
  - Associations vary in cue validity
  - Certain associations characterize the prototype

- Category structure is hierarchical.
  - Categories can 'contain' other categories
  - Lower level category shares all features of higher level category
- Higher level categories have fewer defining criteria, they are more schematic (vague)
   thing > mineral > diamond > blue diamond

- Higher level categories are more differentiated from other categories
  - Animals, fruit, tools
  - Apple, orange, pineapple
- Higher level categories lack internal consistency:
   Things = rocks, buildings, people, countries, fruit, spaceships, jewelry, meat...

 Brains tend to organize the world (at least at first) around a certain optimal level, the basic level.

#### The basic level:

 Where tension between the internal consistency of the category and its differentiation from other categories is optimally resolved.

- The basic level is rooted in experiences of how features/attributes co-occur in the world
  - Not necessarily fixed, related to our interaction with the world
  - Natural discontinuities -- vis a vis our needs, where is it sensible to create divides
  - Ex. Consider 3 plants: cotton, thistle, flax
    - Human: [cotton, flax] / [thistle] (cloth source vs. not
    - Boll Weevil: [cotton] / [thistle, flax] (food vs not)

 Linguistic representations map onto (are associated with) other categories/schemas

Words map onto schemas imperfectly

Ambiguity, vagueness and polysemy

- Ambiguity 1 word --> 2 or more unrelated schemas
  - Bank financial institution or river's edge
- Vagueness 1 word --> 2 or more irrelevantly different categories - no experiential basis for considering separate
  - Aunt mother's sister or father's sister
  - Vagueness probably always present, not always troublesome

Polysemy - between vagueness and ambiguity

Paint - a house vs. an oil painting

#### Encoding basic level objects

- Basic level terms tend to be simpler
- Subordinate terms are often compounds formed from basic level terms
  - Claw hammer, red delicious apple, gold fish

Basic level terms tend to be learned early

- Language and events
- Is there some notion of basic level events?
  - Something happened > a canine made a noise > a dog barked > a french poodle emitted a loud sharp bark
  - Move > walk > creep

- Children tend to learn 'light' verbs first *Want, do, make, put, get...*Schematic, polysemous
  - Developmental overview
    - 1. Verbal 'islands' verbs used conservatively
    - 2. Noun substitutions occur
    - 3. Verb substitutions occur

- 1. Verbal islands
  - Children begin using verbs in the same patterns and with the same words in which they learned them.

- 2. Noun substitutions occur
  - Children begin to substitute other nouns into familiar patterns
  - Generalization of verbal categories
    - Liken to creation of 'dog' schema after seeing many dog exemplars all with all their variations
    - Strengthening of part of speech type categories (N,V, Adj, etc.)

- 1. Verb substitutions occur
  - Syntactic pattern categorization
  - Sequences of words can be viewed as sequences of word categories --> constructions

- Constructions (Goldberg)
  - Form meaning pair independent of words in sentence
  - Traditionally differences in complement configuration are associated with differences in verb meaning:

(dative vs. ditransitive construction)

- 1. I brought a glass of water to Pat/the table
- 2. I brought Pat/\*the table a glass of water

- 1. The garden is swarming with bees
- 2. Bees are swarming in the garden

In (1), garden must be full of bees, in (2), not necessarily.

- 1. I loaded the truck with hay.
- 2. I loaded the hay onto the truck

In (1), truck is filled with hay, in (2) not necessarily.

- 1. I am afraid to cross the road
- 2. I am afraid of crossing the road.
- 3. <sup>?</sup>I am afraid to fall down.
- 4. I am afraid of falling down.

*afraid to* constructions presuppose intention to commit act described, *afraid of* constructions do not.

Transitivity: agent acts upon object causes an effect in object

- John kicked the ball
- Mary ate the ice cream

Adjective + to anticipates an intended action

I'm afraid/proud/glad to tell you the story of my Dad.

Adjective + of presents an anticipated reaction to a condition
I'm afraid/proud/\*glad of telling you the story of my Dad.

 A constructional account of meaning claims that systematic differences in meaning between the same verb in different constructions are attributed to particular constructions.

- 1. Pat kicked the wall. (transitive)
- 2. Pat kicked Bob black and blue. (resultative)
- Pat kicked the football into the stadium. (caused motion)
- 4. Pat kicked at the football.
- 5. Pat kicked his foot against the chair.
- 6. Pat kicked Bob the football. (ditransitive)
- 7. The horse kicks. (intransitive)
- Pat kicked his way out of the operating room. (way construction)

The meaning of a sentence is comprehended partly from the specific words used and partly from the constructional meaning.

- Evidence from nonsense words
  - I mooped him something (60% of people say moop means give)
- Naigles et. al study (1987)
  - Children (24-30 mos.) shown 2 scenes on videotape
    - Big bird pushing cookie monster down (transitive)
    - Big bird and Cookie Monster both squatting (intransitive)
  - Simultaneously heard transitive or intransitive constructions
  - Preferential looking to scene matching constructional meaning

- Constructions allow for novel extensions of verbs.
  - **1.** She sneezed the napkin off the table.
  - 2. Dan talked himself blue in the face.
  - **3.** She baked him a cake.
  - 4. She soldered him a music stand.

- "Simple clause constructions are associated directly with semantic structures which reflect scenes basic to human experience." (Goldberg)
- Constructional meanings may bootstrap up from 'light' verb meanings
  - Verbs with rather schematic meanings learned first
    - Give non specific for what is given, who it is given to, how the given object is made, etc. → schematic
      - Put, take, go similar

- Patterns learned and associated with this meaning
  - He gave me the ball.
  - He gave the ball to me
- New verbs substituted into pattern
  - He threw me the ball.
  - He handed the ball to me.
- New verb meanings are learned both by association with experienced events, and by an understanding of the constructional meanings in which they occur

 Sentences are comprehended from a variety of cues: lexical meaning, on-line adjustments (beachcomber model), constructional meaning

#### Prototype not always interpreted

- Red squirrel
  - red, and squirrel together activate particular comprehension of both 'red' and 'squirrel' (Zwaan & Madden)
- Fred read all the books in the library
  - meaning of all the books is readjusted to mean each unique book (Zwaan & Madden)

- Polysemous senses of words require resolution
  - Paint the wall vs. paint a mural (Tuggy)
- Constructions are associated with basic events
  - Causation, moving, giving, receiving
  - Constructions characterized by sequences of word categories
    - Transitive: N-V-N
  - Constructions can be polysemous or ambiguous as well
    - N-V-N I have a book, I kicked the ball

- Speech acts also constructional (Perez Hernandez)
  - Speech acts are defined in terms of patterns of intonation, morphology, etc.
    - Interrogative
    - Imperative
    - Declarative
  - Indirect speech acts
    - Can you pass the salt? (question form/imperative function)
    - That's your sister? (declarative form/interrogative function)
    - You're to be here tomorrow. (declarative form/ imperative function)

 Linguistic forms are cues for interpretation, but meanings are rarely fully compositional – that is predictable from knowing the prototypical meanings of the parts